PRESENTER



Nicholas Chisnall, General Counsel, Public Defence Service, Wellington

Prior to joining the Public Defence Service, Nicholas was Crown Counsel at Crown Law and a Crown prosecutor in both Wellington and Tauranga. He has conducted many trials and appeals for both the prosecution and defence. Nicholas is the editor of the criminal procedure section of the New Zealand Law Journal.

The statements and conclusions contained in this paper are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
A COMPARISON OF THE STATUTORY FRAMEWORKS IN CANADA AND NEW ZEALAND
THE POLICY CONCERN BEHIND S 27 OF THE SENTENCING ACT AND S 418.2(E) OF THE CANADIAN
CRIMINAL CODE
How cultural and background information has been used during sentencing in New
ZEALAND
The direct route: Seeking a discount based on the offender's ethnicity
The remorseful offender
The explanatory value of cultural evidence when addressing culpability
THE CANADIAN APPROACH
THE AUSTRALIAN APPROACH
CAN MORE BE MADE OF AN OFFENDER'S BACKGROUND AT SENTENCING?